

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMER United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

				\ /
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/666,698	03/17/2003	Jonas Browne		6374
7590 04/06/2004			EXAMINER	
Flush Laboratories, Inc			HUYNH, KHOA D	
221 East Harts			· parinum	D. DED 1711 (DED
Hartsdale, NY 10530			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3751	

DATE MAILED: 04/06/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/666,698	BROWNE, JONAS	
Office Acti	Office Action Summary		Art Unit	
		Khoa D. Huynh	3751	
The MAILING DA Period for Reply	ATE of this communica	tion appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
THE MAILING DATE C  - Extensions of time may be av after SIX (6) MONTHS from ti  - If the period for reply specifier  - If NO period for reply is specifier  - Failure to reply within the set	OF THIS COMMUNICA ailable under the provisions of a the mailing date of this communid above is less than thirty (30) dired above, the maximum statut or extended period for reply will be later than three months after	67 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a recation. ays, a reply within the statutory minimum of thir	reply be timely filed  ty (30) days will be considered timely.  ITHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1) Responsive to co	ommunication(s) filed	on <u>17 March 2003</u> .		
2a)☐ This action is FI	· ·	∑ This action is non-final.		
3) Since this applic	ation is in condition for	allowance except for formal matt	ters, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accord	ance with the practice	under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	). 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/a	re pending in the appl	cation.		
·		withdrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) i				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/a	s/are objected to.			
	-	n and/or election requirement.		
о/ <u>Ш</u> Оішііі(о/ (		in and/or oloodon roquiromona		
application Papers				
9) The specification	•			
	<del></del>	is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ ob	-	
• • • • • •		on to the drawing(s) be held in abeya		
<u> </u>	• ,,	· •	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d) d Office Action or form PTO-152.	
		y the Examiner, Note the attache	d Office Addition of futility 10-192.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. {	§ 119			
12)□ Acknowledgment a)□ All b)□ Som		foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. {	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
	•	cuments have been received.		
	• • •	cuments have been received in A	• •	
•		the priority documents have been	received in this National Stage	
		. KUTASU (PC I RUIA 1/2/31)		
• •	n from the International	or a list of the certified copies not	raceived	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_.

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

6) Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

Art Unit: 3751

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### **Drawings**

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the handles, 30 degree rotation, the mechanical stop and knobs as recited in claim 1; and the air bulb as recited in claim 2 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

2. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: arm No. 1, arm No. 2, and an adjustable weight.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

## Specification

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because implication phraseology such as "This invention provides..." should be avoided. Furthermore, it is recommended that applicant should make an attempt to revise the Abstract since "the abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details". Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Art Unit: 3751

## Claim Objections

3. Claims 1-3 are objected to because of the following informalities: each claim begins with a capital letter and ends with a period. Periods may not be used elsewhere in the claims except for abbreviations. See MPEP § 608.01(m). Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 5. Claims 2 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claims contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Regarding claim 2, claim 2 calls for "when the handle is rotated to the left" a full flush is produced. Such claimed subject matter is difficult to ascertain since the detailed structural connections between the new shaft, the new discharge valve and its valving system, and the existing flush mechanism are not being shown or being described in detailed. Such detailed description of the structural connections provides an understanding of how the invention works as claimed.

Claim 3 calls for "the (flushing) cycle is interrupted by the valving system" since "the valving will only allow a preset amount of the tank water to be released". Such

Art Unit: 3751

claimed subject matter, however, does not have any detailed support in the instant specification to allow one skill in the art to ascertain the scope of the claimed invention. In other words, the specification is vague and unclear as to how the "valving system" works as claimed. Furthermore, applicant's flushing system, as being shown in the Figures, will not function to produce a water-saving flush as claimed. The reason is that when the handle is rotated to the right (presumably standing looking out from the tank), the spring is compressed and the new discharge valve is biasing to close, thus no flush will be produce.

- 6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 7. Claims 2 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claimed subject matter of claims 2 and 3 renders the claims indefinite since such claimed limitations do not have any detailed support in the instant specification.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 3751

9. Claim 3, as presently and best understood, is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tsai et al. (5396666).

The Tsai et al. reference discloses a toilet device installed in a toilet water tank (Fig. 5). When the handle is rotated to the right (standing looking in from the left side of the page), the linkage (61) lifts the flapper valve (40) and gravity forces out the water from the tank. However, the flushing cycle is interrupted by the valving system (53) which only allows a preset amount of water to be released (Fig. 7). This amount of water will be sufficiently to (partially) flush the liquid waste from the toilet bowl. Furthermore, by releasing the handle, the flapper valve will close and the water level in the tank and bowl will again rise to the set point ready for the next flushing cycle either a full flush (Fig. 6) or a partial flush (Fig. 7).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 11. Claim 1, as presently and best understood, is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tsai et al. (5396666).

The Tsai et al. reference discloses a toilet device installed in a toilet water tank (Fig. 5). The device includes a spring gear (71) for allowing a dual rotation (Figs. 6 & 7). The device also includes a mechanical stop (53) and a return

Application/Control Number: 10/666,698

Art Unit: 3751

center (Fig. 5). A shaft (61) is attached to the handle (65). On the shaft, there are knobs (the portion where cord 30 attached) that are located substantially at right angle to link to the internal toilet flush mechanism such as the flapper valve. The handle is rotatable to the right or left (Figs. 6 & 7).

Even though, the Tsai et al. reference does not specifically disclose that the rotation is 30 degree to the right of left as claimed. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ a 30 degree rotation since discovering an optimum degree for a rotation involves only routine experiment or trial and error for one of skill in the art, especially since Tsai et al. reference also shown (Figs. 6 & 7) that the handle is rotated about 30 degree to the right of left.

12. Claim 2, as presently and best understood, is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tsai et al. (5396666) in view of Addicks et al. (4122564).

The Tsai et al. reference discloses a toilet device installed in a toilet water tank (Fig. 6). The device includes a handle (65) is rotatable to the left (Figs. 6, standing looking in from the left side of the page). When the handle is rotated to the left, the flapper valve (40) will be pulled open, and gravity forces out the water from the tank thus expelling both sold and liquid waste from the bowl. When the tank is empty (due to a full flush), the flapper valve closes.

Even though, the Tsai et al. reference does not specifically include an air bulb (an float) as claimed. Attention, however, is directed to the Addicks et al. reference which discloses another dual flush toilet system having a conventional

Application/Control Number: 10/666,698

Art Unit: 3751

water tank refill system using an air bulb or float (16) for shutting off the water supply when the preset level is reached. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to having modified the Tsai et al. device by employing a float (if not already), in view of the teaching of Addicks et al., in order to control the refill water level inside the tank.

#### Conclusion

13. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Reid, Goren, Ball and Hwang et al. were cited to show a dual flush toilet system.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Khoa D. Huynh whose telephone number is (703) 306-5483. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:00-4:30) Second Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory Huson can be reached on (703) 308-2580. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

Art Unit: 3751

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Khoa D. Huynh Patent Examiner Art Unit 3751

HK 04/02/2004